

## MY VOTE

- Support 1.0 (normal advancement)
- Support 1.5 step
- Support 2.0 step
- Do not support advancement
- Abstain
- Ineligible to vote (review/comment extended)
- Ineligible (Reviewer at different level)

### 2.0 Step Advancement

Must select at least *two* categories below and provide supporting comments. 2.0-step advancement requires a strong record in all *three* areas of review, with outstanding performance in at least two areas. In most cases, one of those areas will be scholarly and creative activity, however, exceptional performance in two other areas (teaching, University and public service, professional competence and activities) might warrant such unusual advancement. 2.0-step advancement requests will go to CAP for review and the Vice Provosts-Academic Affairs for final decision.

Teaching

Comment (required); 500 chars. max -- **Please do not use "see above", "ditto" or "see previous comment". If you wish to use a previous comment please copy, paste and modify as appropriate.**

Test comment: enter supporting reason for choosing "Teaching" here.

Research

University and Public Service

Comment (required); 500 chars. max -- **Please do not use "see above", "ditto" or "see previous comment". If you wish to use a previous comment please copy, paste and modify as appropriate.**

Test comment: enter supporting reason for choosing "University and Public Service" here.

Professional Competence and Activities

Save Response

Submit Response